Delaware Public Service Commission (Docket No. 86-20, Phase II) on behalf of The Diamond State Telephone Company: appropriate costing and pricing methods for a regulated firm facing competition, in connection with a proposed rate reduction. Filed March 31, 1989. Rebuttal testimony filed November 17, 1989.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket No. 87-313) on behalf of the United States Telephone Association: analysis of an AT&T filing and an empirical analysis of productivity growth under price cap regulation, entitled "Analysis of AT&T's Comparison of Interstate Access Charges Under Incentive Regulation and Rate of Return Regulation." Filed as Reply Comments regarding the FCC's Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket 87-313, August 3, 1989.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket No. 87-313) on behalf of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, "Taxes and Incentive Regulation," filed as Exhibit 3 to the Reply Comments of Southwestern Bell regarding the FCC's Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket 87-313, August 3, 1989.

New York State Public Service Commission (Case 28961 - Fifth Stage) on behalf of New York Telephone Company: appropriate level and structure of productivity adjustments in a proposed price regulation plan. Filed September 15, 1989.

Georgia Public Service Commission (Docket No. 3882-U) on behalf of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company: analysis of incentive regulation plans. Filed September 29, 1989.

Public Utility Commission of Texas (Docket No. 8585) on behalf of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company: analysis of Texas intrastate switched access charges and bypass of switched access. Filed December 18, 1989.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket 87-313) on behalf of the United States Telephone Association: analysis of appropriate productivity offsets for local exchange carriers in the FCC price cap plan, entitled "Local Exchange Carrier Productivity Offsets for the FCC Price Cap Plan," May 3, 1990.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket 87-313) on behalf of the United States Telephone Association: analysis of appropriate productivity offsets for local exchange carriers in the FCC price cap plan, entitled "Productivity Offsets for LEC Interstate Access," June 8, 1990.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket 87-313) on behalf of the United States Telephone Association: analysis of appropriate productivity offsets for mid-size telephone companies in the FCC price cap plan, entitled "Interstate Access Productivity Offsets for Mid-Size Telephone Companies," June 8, 1990.

State of Maine Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 89-397) on behalf of New England Telephone & Telegraph Company: theoretical and historical analysis of incentive regulation in telecommunications, entitled "Incentive Regulation in Telecommunications," filed June 15, 1990.

Illinois Commerce Commission (Docket No. 88-0412) on behalf of Illinois Bell Telephone Company: analysis of pricing issues for public telephone service. Filed August 3, 1990. Rebuttal testimony filed December 9, 1991.

Delaware Public Service Commission (Docket No. 89-24T) on behalf of The Diamond State Telephone Company: rebuttal testimony describing the appropriate costing and pricing methods for the provision of contract Centrex services by a local exchange carrier. Filed August 17, 1990.

Montana Public Service Commission (Docket No. 90.8.46) on behalf of US West Communications: theoretical and historical analysis of incentive regulation plans in telecommunications. Filed October 4, 1990.

Arizona State Air Pollution Control Hearing Board (Docket No. A-90-02) on behalf of Arizona Public Service Company. A statistical study of SO₂ emissions entitled, "Analysis of Cholla Unit 2 SO₂ Compliance Test Data," (October 24, 1990) and an Affidavit (December 7, 1990).

Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission (Docket No. 1990-73) on behalf of Bell Canada: "The Effect of Competition on U.S. Telecommunications Performance," (with L.J. Perl). Filed November 30, 1990.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (Docket No. TX90050349) on behalf of New Jersey Bell Telephone Company: theoretical and empirical analysis of the Board's intraLATA compensation policy. Filed December 6, 1990.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket 87-313) on behalf of the United States Telephone Association: analysis of total factor productivity calculations, entitled "Productivity Measurements in the Price Cap Docket," December 21, 1990.

Tennessee Public Service Commission (In re: The Promulgation of Agency Statements of General Applicability to Telephone Companies That Prescribe New Policies and Procedures for Their Regulation) on behalf of South Central Bell Telephone Company: theoretical analysis and appraisal of the proposed Tennessee Regulatory Reform Plan. Filed February 20, 1991.

Florida Public Service Commission (Docket No. 900633-TL) on behalf of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company: alternative measures of cross-subsidization. May 9, 1991.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket 87-313) on behalf of BellSouth Corporation, "The Treatment of New Services under Price Cap Regulation," (with Alfred E. Kahn), June 12, 1991.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket 91-141, Expanded Interconnection with Local Telephone Company Facilities) on behalf of Bell Atlantic, "Effects of Competitive Entry in the U.S. Interstate Toll Markets." August 6, 1991.

California Public Utilities Commission (Phase II of Case 90-07-037) on behalf of Pacific Bell: economic analysis of the effects of FAS 106, (accrual accounting for post-retirement benefits other than pensions) under state price cap regulation, (with Timothy J. Tardiff). Filed August 30, 1991. Supplemental testimony filed January 21, 1992.

Federal Communications Commission (Docket 91-141, Expanded Interconnection with Local Telephone Company Facilities) on behalf of Southwestern Bell, "Economic Effects of the FCC's Tentative Proposal for Interstate Access Transport Services." Filed September 20, 1991.

Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 1997) on behalf of New England Telephone & Telegraph Company, "Rhode Island Price Regulation Plan," analysis of proposed price regulation plan and evidence of the effects of incentive regulation on prices and infrastructure development. Filed September 30, 1991.

Montana Public Service Commission (Docket No. 90.12.86) on behalf of US West Communications: economic analysis of a proposed incentive regulation plan. Filed November 4, 1991. Additional testimony filed January 15, 1992.

Testimony before the Michigan Circuit Court (Case No. 87-709234-CE and 87-709232-CE) on behalf of Combustion Engineering, Inc., in *Her Majesty the Queen, et al.*, v. *Greater Detroit Resource Recovery Authority, et al.*, re statistical analysis of air pollution data to determine emissions limits for the Detroit municipal waste-to-energy facility, February, 1992.

Federal Communications Commission, (Pacific Bell Tariff F.C.C. No. 128, Transmittal No. 1579) on behalf of Pacific Bell, "The Treatment of FAS 106 Accounting Changes Under FCC Price Cap Regulation," (with T.J. Tardiff). Filed April 15, 1992. Reply comments filed July 31, 1992.

New York Public Service Commission (Case No. 28425) on behalf of New York Telephone Company, "Costs and Benefits of IntraLATA Presubscription," (with T.J. Tardiff), filed May 1, 1992.

California Public Utilities Commission, (Docket No. I.87-11-033), on behalf of Pacific Bell, "The New Regulatory Framework 1990-1992: An Economic Review," (with T.J. Tardiff), filed May 1, 1992.

New Hampshire Public Service Commission, (Docket DE 90-002), on behalf of New England Telephone & Telegraph Company: the appropriate relationship between carrier access and toll prices. Filed May 1, 1992. Reply testimony filed July 10, 1992. Rebuttal testimony filed August 21, 1992.

Delaware Public Utilities Commission, (Docket No. 33), on behalf of Diamond State Telephone Company, "Incentive Regulation of Telecommunications Utilities in Delaware," filed June 22, 1992.

Federal Communications Commission, (CC Docket 92-141, In the Matter of 1992 Annual Access Tariff Filings) on behalf of Bell Atlantic, "Effects of Competitive Entry in the U.S. Interstate Toll Markets: An Update," filed July 10, 1992.

Florida Public Service Commission (Docket No. 920385-TL) on behalf of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company: the economic relationship between depreciation rates, investment, and infrastructure development. September 3, 1992.

Maryland Public Service Commission (Case No. 8462) on behalf of The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Maryland: competition and the appropriate regulatory treatment of Yellow Pages, filed October 2, 1992.

Federal Communications Commission (ET Docket 92-100) on behalf of BellSouth Corporation, "Assigning PCS Spectrum: An Economic Analysis of Eligibility Requirements and Licensing Mechanisms," (with Richard Schmalensee), filed November 9, 1992.

Florida Public Service Commission (Docket No. 920260-TL) on behalf of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company: economic analysis of a proposed price cap regulation plan. December 18, 1992.

Science, Technology and Energy Committee of the New Hampshire House of Representatives on behalf of New England Telephone Company, "An Economic Perspective on New Hampshire Senate Bill 77," an analysis of resale of intraLATA toll services. April 6, 1993

California Public Utilities Commission, (Docket No. I.87-11-033), on behalf of Pacific Bell, "Pacific Bell's Performance Under the New Regulatory Framework: An Economic Evaluation of the First Three Years," (with T.J. Tardiff), filed April 8, 1993, reply testimony filed May 7, 1993.

Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission (Docket No. 92-78) on behalf of Alberta General Telephone: "Lessons for the Canadian Regulatory Structure from the U.S. Experience with Incentive Regulation," and "Performance Under Alternative Forms of Regulation in the U.S. Telecommunications Industry," (with T.J. Tardiff). Filed April 13, 1993.

Federal Communications Commission (Petition for Declaratory Ruling and Related Waivers to Establish a New Regulatory Model for the Ameritech Region) on behalf of Ameritech: "Price Cap Regulation and Enhanced Competition for Interstate Access Services," filed April 16, 1993, Reply Comments, July 12, 1993.

Delaware Public Utilities Commission, (Docket No. 33), on behalf of Diamond State Telephone Company, "Reply Comments," June 1, 1993, "Supplementary Statement," June 7, 1993, "Second Supplementary Statement," June 14, 1993: analysis of productivity growth and a proposed incentive regulation plan.

Federal Communications Commission (Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Adopt Regulations for Automatic Vehicle Monitoring Systems) PR Docket No. 93-61 on behalf of PacTel Teletrac, "The Economics of Co-Channel Separation for Wideband Pulse Ranging Location Monitoring Systems," (with R. Schmalensee), filed June 29, 1993.

Vermont Public Service Board, Petition for Price Regulation Plan of New England Telephone on behalf of New England Telephone Company, Dockets 5700/5702: analysis of appropriate parameters for a price regulation plan, filed September 30, 1993, rebuttal testimony July 5, 1994.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, (Docket No. P-009350715): a study of inflation offsets in a proposed price regulation plan, filed October 1, 1993, rebuttal testimony filed January 18, 1994.

New Jersey Board of Regulatory Commissioners, (Docket No. TX93060259), Affidavit analyzing statistical evidence regarding the effect of intraLATA competition on telephone prices, filed October 1, 1993.

Federal Communications Commission (In the Matter of Policy and Rules Concerning Rates for Competitive Common Carrier Services and Facilities Authorization Therefor) on behalf of four Regional Bell Holding Companies, Affidavit "Interstate Long Distance Competition and AT&T's Motion for Reclassification as a Nondominant Carrier," filed November 12, 1993, (with A.E. Kahn).

Maryland Public Service Commission (Case No. 8584) on behalf of The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company of Maryland: appropriate pricing and regulatory treatment of interconnection to permit competition for local service, filed November 19, 1993, (with A.E. Kahn), rebuttal testimony filed January 10, 1994, surrebuttal testimony filed January 24, 1994.

Testimony before the United States District Court, Eastern District of New York on behalf of Jancyn Manufacturing Corp., in *Jancyn Manufacturing Corp. v. The County of Suffolk*. Commercial damages. Depositions: September 19, 1991, November 22, 1993; Testimony and Cross-Examination: January 11, 1994.

Affidavit to the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia on behalf of Bell Atlantic Corporation in *United States of America v. Western Electric Company, Inc.* and American Telephone and Telegraph Company, re relief from the interLATA restrictions of the MFJ in connection with the pending merger with Tele-Communications, Inc. and Liberty Media Corporation, filed January 14, 1994, (with A.E. Kahn).

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (Docket Nos. TX90050349, TE92111047, TE93060211) on behalf of Bell Atlantic-New Jersey: economic impacts of intraLATA toll competition and regulatory changes required to accommodate competition, filed April 7, 1994. Rebuttal testimony filed April 25, 1994. Summary Affidavit and Technical Affidavit filed April 19, 1994.

Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities (Docket No. D.P.U. 94-50), on behalf of NYNEX: analysis of appropriate parameters for a price regulation plan, filed April 14, 1994, rebuttal testimony filed October 26, 1994.

Federal Communications Commission (CC Docket 94-1) on behalf of the United States Telephone Association: "Economic Performance of the LEC Price Cap Plan," filed as Attachment 5 to the <u>United States Telephone Association</u> Comments, May 9, 1994, "Economic Performance of the LEC Price Cap Plan: Reply Comments," filed as Attachment 4 to the <u>United States Telephone Association Reply Comments</u>, June 29, 1994.

Federal Communications Commission (CC Docket 94-1) on behalf of the United States Telephone Association: "Comments on the USTA Pricing Flexibility Proposal," filed as Attachment 4 to the <u>United States Telephone Association</u>
Comments, May 9, 1994, "Reply Comments: Market Analysis and Pricing Flexibility for Interstate Access Services," filed as Attachment 3 to the <u>United States Telephone Association Reply Comments</u>, June 29, 1994 (with Richard Schmalensee).

Affidavit to the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia on behalf of Southwestern Bell in *United States of America v. Western Electric Company, Inc. and American Telephone and Telegraph Company*, regarding provision of telecommunications and information services across LATA boundaries outside the regions in which its local exchange operations are located, filed May 13, 1994, (with A.E. Kahn).

Federal Communications Commission (File Nos. W-P-C 6912 and 6966) on behalf of Bell Atlantic Corporation, affidavit supporting Section 214 applications to provide video dialtone services, August 5, 1994.

Affidavit to the U.S. Department of Justice on behalf of NYNEX in *United States of America v. Western Electric Company, Inc. and American Telephone and Telegraph Company*, regarding provision of telecommunications services across LATA boundaries for traffic originating or terminating in New York State, filed August 25, 1994.

Federal Communications Commission (File Nos. W-P-C 6982 and 6983) on behalf of NYNEX: affidavit supporting Section 214 applications to provide video dialtone services in Massachusetts and Rhode Island, September 21, 1994.

New York State Public Service Commission (Case 92-C-0665, Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Investigate Performance-Based Incentive Regulatory Plans for New York Telephone Company) on behalf of New York Telephone Company: appropriate level and structure of productivity adjustments and competitive pricing safeguards in a proposed incentive regulation plan. Filed as part of panel testimony, October 3, 1994.

Delaware Public Utilities Commission, (Docket No. 42), on behalf of Bell Atlantic - Delaware, rebuttal testimony concerning the historical effects of equal access competition in interstate toll markets and the likely future effects of competition under 1+ presubscription in Delaware, filed October 21, 1994.

Maryland Public Service Commission (Case No. 8659) on behalf of Bell Atlantic - Maryland: appropriate pricing of interconnection among competing local exchange carriers, filed November 9, 1994.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, (Docket No. I-940034): issues regarding proposed presubscription for intraLATA toll traffic in Pennsylvania, including the likely demand effects of 1+ presubscription and the role of economically efficient imputation of carrier access charges. Filed as part of panel testimony, December 8, 1994. Reply testimony filed February 23, 1995. Surrebuttal testimony filed March 16, 1995.

State of Maine Public Utilities Commission (Docket Nos. 94-123/94-254) on behalf of New England Telephone & Telegraph Company: analysis of appropriate parameters for a price regulation plan, filed December 13, 1994, rebuttal testimony filed January 13, 1995.

Maryland Public Service Commission (Case No. 8584, Phase II) on behalf of Bell Atlantic - Maryland: geographically deaveraged incremental and embedded costs of service, filed December 15, 1994, additional direct testimony concerning efficient rate structures for interconnection pricing, May 5, 1995, rebuttal testimony filed June 30, 1995.

Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission (Application of Teleglobe Canada for Review of the Regulatory Framework of Teleglobe Canada Inc.): on behalf of Teleglobe Canada, Inc., structure of a price regulation plan for the franchised supplier of overseas telecommunications services in Canada. Filed December 21, 1994

Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission, Response to Interrogatory SRCI(CRTC) 1Nov94-906, "Economies of Scope in Telecommunications," on behalf of Stentor. Filed January 31, 1995.

Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission, Implementation of Regulatory Framework and Related Issues, Telecom Public Notices CRTC 94-52, 94-56 and 94-58, "Economic Welfare Benefits from Rate Rebalancing," on behalf of Stentor. Filed February 20, 1995.

Federal Communications Commission on behalf of Bell Atlantic Corporation, affidavit examining cost support for Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Loop (ADSL) video dialtone market trial. Filed February 21, 1995.

Federal Communications Commission on behalf of Bell Atlantic Corporation, affidavit examining cost support for Bell Atlantic's video dialtone tariff. Filed March 6, 1995.

Federal Communications Commission on behalf of the United States Telephone Association, study entitled "Competition in the Interstate Long-Distance Markets: Recent Evidence from AT&T Price Changes," ex parte filing in CC Docket No. 94-1, March 16, 1995.

Public Service Commission of West Virginia (Case No. 94-1103-T-GI) on behalf of Bell Atlantic - West Virginia: economic analysis of issues regarding proposed presubscription for intraLATA toll traffic in West Virginia, March 24, 1995.

Kentucky Public Service Commission on behalf of South Central Bell Telephone Company, testimony concerning telecommunications productivity growth and price cap plans, April 18, 1995.

Federal Communications Commission (CC Docket No. 79-252) on behalf of Bell Atlantic, BellSouth, SBC, and Pacific Telesis, "An Analysis of the State of Competition in Long-Distance Telephone Markets," study attached to *ex parte* comments examining the competitiveness of interstate long-distance telephone markets, (with J. Douglas Zona), April 1995.

California Public Utilities Commission, (U 1015 C) on behalf of Roseville Telephone Company, testimony regarding productivity measures in Roseville's proposed new regulatory framework, filed May 15, 1995, rebuttal testimony filed January 12, 1996.

Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities (Docket No. D.P.U. 94-185) on behalf of NYNEX: economic analysis of terms and conditions for efficient local competition, filed May 19, 1995, rebuttal testimony filed August 23, 1995.

Affidavit to the U.S. Department of Justice on behalf of SBC Communications Inc. in United States of America v. Western Electric Company, Inc. and American Telephone and Telegraph Company, regarding Telefonos de Mexico's (Telmex's) provision of interexchange telecommunications services within the United States, filed May 22, 1995.

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Case No. 94-1695-TP-ACE) on behalf of Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company: economic analysis of terms and conditions for efficient local competition, filed May 24, 1995.

Affidavit to the U.S. Department of Justice on behalf of SBC Communications Inc. in *United States of America v. Western Electric Company, Inc. and American Telephone and Telegraph Company*, regarding provision of interexchange telecommunications services to customers with independent access to interexchange carriers, filed May 30, 1995.

The New Jersey Board of Public Utilities (Docket No. TX94090388) on behalf of Bell Atlantic - New Jersey: economic analysis of issues regarding proposed presubscription for intraLATA toll traffic in New Jersey. Amended direct testimony filed April 17, 1995. Rebuttal Testimony filed May 31, 1995.

Vermont Public Service Board, (Open Network Architecture Docket No. 5713) on behalf of New England Telephone Company, economic principles for local competition, interconnection and unbundling, direct testimony filed June 7, 1995, rebuttal testimony filed July 12, 1995.

State of Connecticut, Department of Public Utility Control, (DPUC Docket No. 95-03-01) on behalf of Southern New England Telephone Company, testimony concerning productivity growth targets in a proposed state price cap regulation plan, filed June 19, 1995.

Federal Communications Commission (File Nos. W-P-C 7074) on behalf of Southern New England Telephone Company, affidavit supporting Section 214 applications to provide video dialtone services, July 6, 1995.

Louisiana Public Service Commission (Docket No. U-17949, Subdocket E) on behalf of South Central Bell Telephone Company, rebuttal testimony concerning productivity growth accounting and other aspects of a price regulation plan, July 24, 1995.

New York Public Service Commission (Case 94-C-0017) on behalf of New York Telephone Company, testimony competition and market power in intrastate toll markets, filed August 1, 1995.

Louisiana Public Service Commission (Docket No. U-20883, Subdocket A) on behalf of South Central Bell Telephone Company, rebuttal testimony concerning methods for measuring the cost of providing universal service, August 16, 1995.

US WATS v. AT&T: Retained by counsel for US WATS, a reseller of AT&T long distance services, plaintiff in an antitrust suit alleging monopolization and conspiracy in business long distance markets. Antitrust liability and damages. Confidential Report, August 22, 1995. Depositions September 30, October 1, October 12, December 3, 1995. Testimony October 18-20, 25-27, 30, 1995. Rebuttal testimony December 4, December 11, 1995.

California Public Utilities Commission, (Investigation No. I.95-05-047), on behalf of Pacific Bell, "Incentive Regulation and Competition: Issues for the 1995 Incentive Regulation Review," (with R.L. Schmalensee and T.J. Tardiff), filed September 8, 1995, reply testimony filed September 18, 1995.

Mississippi Public Service Commission (Docket No. 95-UA-313) on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a South Central Bell Telephone Company, rebuttal testimony addressing cost issues, as they pertain to price regulation raised in the direct testimony by intervenors. Filed October 13, 1995.

Mexican Secretariat of Communications and Transport on behalf of Southwestern Bell International Holdings Corporation, affidavit on interconnection regulation (with T.J. Tardiff). Filed October 18, 1995.

Affidavit to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (Alexandria Division) on behalf of United States Telephone Association, United States Telephone Association, et al., v. Federal Communications Commission, et al., (Civil Action No. 95-533-A) regarding the Section 214 process for local exchange companies providing cable television services, filed October 30, 1995, (with A.E. Kahn).

Tennessee Public Service Commission (Docket No. 95-02499) on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a BellSouth Telephone Company, testimony addressing the definition and measurement of the cost of supplying universal service. (direct testimony October 20, 1995, rebuttal testimony October 25, 1995). Additional testimony regarding economic principles underlying the creation of a competitively-neutral universal service fund: direct testimony October 30, 1995, rebuttal testimony November 3, 1995).

Federal Communications Commission (CC Docket No. 95-145) on behalf of Bell Atlantic Corporation, affidavit examining economic issues raised in the investigation of Bell Atlantic's video dialtone tariff, filed October 26, 1995. Supplemental Affidavit filed December 21, 1995.

New England Telephone and Telegraph Company, D/B/A NYNEX, State of Rhode Island (Docket No. 2252), testimony addressing the economic conditions under which competition in the local exchange and intraLATA markets will bring benefits to customers. Direct testimony, November 17, 1995

Darren B. Swain, Inc. d/b/a U.S. Communications v. AT&T Corp., United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Civil Action 394CV-1088D: Retained by counsel for U.S. Communications, a reseller of AT&T long distance services, plaintiff in an antitrust suit alleging monopolization in inbound business long distance markets. Antitrust liability and damages. Confidential Report, November 17, 1995.

Louisiana Public Service Commission (Docket No. U-20883) on behalf of South Central Bell Telephone Company, "Price Regulation and Local Competition in Louisiana," affidavit evaluating a framework for local competition and price regulation in Louisiana, November 21, 1995.

Louisiana Public Service Commission (Docket No. U-17949, Subdocket E) on behalf of South Central Bell Telephone Company, supplemental and rebuttal testimony concerning economic issues in depreciation accounting in the presence of competition and price cap regulation, November 17, 1995. Surrebuttal testimony, December 13, 1995, further surrebuttal testimony, January 12, 1996.

Federal Communications Commission (CC Docket No. 94-1) on behalf of the United States Telephone Association, "Economic Evaluation of Selected Issues from the Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the LEC Price Cap Performance Review," Attachment C to the United States Telephone Association "Comments," filed December 18, 1995 (with T. Tardiff and C. Zarkadas). Reply Comments filed March 1, 1996.

State Corporation Commission of Virginia (Case No. PUC 950067) on behalf of Bell Atlantic - Virginia, Inc., rebuttal testimony concerning economic standards for the classification of services as competitive for regulatory purposes, January 11, 1996.

Mississippi Public Service Commission (Docket No. 95-UA-358) on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a South Central Bell Telephone Company, testimony regarding universal service fund issues, filed January 17, 1996. Rebuttal testimony filed February 28, 1996.

North Carolina Utilities Commission (Docket No. P-7, Sub 825; P-10, Sub 479) on behalf of Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company and Central Telephone Company, direct and rebuttal testimony regarding price cap regulation for small telephone companies, February 9, 1996.

Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission (Docket No. 2370), on behalf of New England Telephone and Telegraph Company, D/B/A NYNEX: economic review and revision of the Rhode Island price cap plan. Direct testimony, February 23, 1996.

Federal Communications Commission (CC Docket No. 95-185) on behalf of NYNEX, "Affidavit Concerning Interconnection Between Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers," filed March 4, 1996.

Maryland Public Service Commission (Case No. 8715), on behalf of Bell Atlantic - Maryland: rebuttal testimony on the economic criteria for the reclassification of telecommunications services, filed March 14, 1996.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Docket Nos. A-310203F0002, A-310213F0002, A-310236F0002 and A-310258F0002), on behalf of Bell Atlantic - Pennsylvania: rebuttal testimony to evaluate costing and pricing principles and cost model, filed March 21, 1996.

PUBLICATIONS

- "Smoothness Priors and Stochastic Prior Restrictions in Distributed Lag Estimation," International Economic Review, 15 (1974), pp. 803-804.
- "Prior Information on the Coefficients When the Disturbance Covariance Matrix is Unknown," Econometrica, 44 (1976), pp. 725-739.
- "Small Sample Properties of a Class of Two Stage Aitken Estimators," <u>Econometrica</u>, 45 (1977), pp. 497-508.
- "The Heteroscedastic Linear Model: Exact Finite Sample Results," <u>Econometrica</u>, 46 (1978), pp. 663-676.
- "Small Sample Considerations in Estimation from Panel Data," <u>Journal of Econometrics</u>, 13 (1980) pp. 203-223.
- "Comparing Specification Tests and Classical Tests," Bell Laboratories Economics Discussion Paper, 1980 (with J.A. Hausman).
- "Panel Data and Unobservable Individual Effects," <u>Econometrica</u>, 49 (1981), pp. 1377-1398 (with J.A. Hausman).
- "On the Efficiency of the Cochrane-Orcutt Estimator," <u>Journal of Econometrics</u>, 17 (1981), pp. 67-82.
- "A Generalized Specification Test," <u>Economics Letters</u>, 8 (1981), pp. 239-245 (with J.A. Hausman).

- "Identification in Linear Simultaneous Equations Models with Covariance Restrictions: An Instrumental Variables Interpretation," <u>Econometrica</u>, 51 (1983), pp. 1527-1549 (with J.A. Hausman).
- "On the Relevance of Finite Sample Distribution Theory," <u>Econometric Reviews</u>, 2 (1983), pp. 1-84.
- "Universal Service and the Access Charge Debate: Comment," in P.C. Mann and H.M. Trebbing (editors) Changing Patterns in Regulation, Markets, and Technology: The Effect on Public Utility Pricing. The Institute of Public Utilities, Michigan State University, 1984.
- "Recovery of Local Telephone Plant Costs under the St. Louis Plan," in P.C. Mann and H.M. Trebbing (editors) <u>Impact of Deregulation and Market Forces on Public Utilities</u>. The Institute of Public Utilities, Michigan State University, 1985.
- "Access Charges and Bypass: Some Approximate Magnitudes," in W.R. Cooke (editor) <u>Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Telecommunications Policy Research</u> Conference, 1985.
- "Federal and State Issues in Non-Traffic Sensitive Cost Recovery," in <u>Proceedings</u> from the <u>Telecommunications Deregulation Forum</u>, Karl Eller Center, College of Business and Public Administration, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, 1986.
- "Panel Data" in N.L. Johnson and S. Kotz (editors), <u>Encyclopedia of Statistical</u> Sciences, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.
- "An Analysis of Tapered Access Charges for End Users," in P.C. Mann and H.M. Trebbing (editors) New Regulatory and Management Strategies in a Changing Market Environment. The Institute of Public Utilities, Michigan State University, 1987 (with D.P. Heyman, J.M. Lazorchak, and D.S. Sibley).
- "Efficient Estimation and Identification of Simultaneous Equation Models with Covariance Restrictions," <u>Econometrica</u>, 55 (1987), pp. 849-874 (with J.A. Hausman and W.K. Newey).
- "Alternative NTS Recovery Mechanisms and Geographic Averaging of Toll Rates," in <u>Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Rate Symposium: Pricing Electric, Gas, and Telecommunications Services</u>. The Institute for the Study of Regulation, University of Missouri, Columbia, 1987.
- "Price Cap Regulation: Contrasting Approaches Taken at the Federal and State Level," in W. Bolter (editor), <u>Federal/State Price-of-Service Regulation: Why, What and How</u>?, Proceedings of the George Washington University Policy Symposium, December, 1987.

- "Local Exchange Pricing: Is There Any Hope?", in J. Alleman (editor), <u>Perspectives on the Telephone Industry: The Challenge of the Future</u>, Ballinger Publishing Company, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1989.
- "Generic Costing and Pricing Problems in the New Network: How Should Costs be Defined and Assessed," in P.C. Mann and H.M. Trebbing (editors) New Regulatory Concepts, Issues, and Controversies. The Institute of Public Utilities, Michigan State University, 1989.
- "Telephone Penetration and Universal Service in the 1980s," in B. Cole (editor), <u>Divestiture Five Years Later</u>, Columbia University Press, New York, New York, 1989, (with L.J. Perl).
- "Regulating Competition for IntraLATA Services," in <u>Telecommunications in a Competitive Environment</u>, Proceedings of the Third Biennial NERA Telecommunications Conference, 1989, pp. 35-50.
- "Costing Principles for Competitive Assessment," in <u>Telecommunications Costing in a Dynamic Environment</u>, Bellcore-Bell Canada Conference Proceedings, 1989 (with T.J. Tardiff).
- "Optional Tariffs for Access in the FCC's Price Cap Proposal," in M. Einhorn (ed.), Price Caps and Incentive Regulation in the Telecommunications Industry, Kluwer, 1991 (with D.P. Heyman and D.S. Sibley).
- "Alternative Measures of Cross-Subsidization," prepared for the Florida Workshop on Appropriate Methodologies for the Detection of Cross-Subsidies, June 8, 1991.
- "Predation and Multiproduct Firms: An Economic Appraisal of the Sievers-Albery Results," Antitrust Law Journal, 30 (1992), pp. 785-795.
- "Lessons for the Energy Industries from Deregulation in Telecommunications," Proceedings of the 46th Annual Meeting of the Federal Energy Bar Association, May, 1992.
- "Efficient Price of Telecommunications Services: The State of the Debate," <u>Review of Industrial Organization</u>, Vol. 8, pp. 21-37, 1993.
- "Status and Results of Regulatory Reform in the U.S. Telecommunications Industry," in C.G. Stalon, Regulatory Responses to Continuously Changing Industry Structures, The Institute of Public Utilities, Michigan State University, 1992.
- "Post-Divestiture Long-Distance Competition in the United States," <u>American Economic Review</u>, Vol. 83, No. 2, May 1993 (with Lester D. Taylor). Reprinted in E. Bailey, J. Hower, and J. Pack, *The Political Economy of Privatization and Deregulation*, (London: Edward Elgar), 1994.

"Comment on 'Pricing of Inputs Sold to Competitors,' by W.J. Baumol and J.G. Sidak," <u>Yale Journal on Regulation</u>, Vol. 11, Issue 1, 1994, pp. 225-240 (with Alfred E. Kahn).

"Comments on Economic Efficiency and Incentive Regulation," Chapter 7 in S. Globerman, W. Stanbury and T. Wilson, <u>The Future of Telecommunications Policy in Canada</u>, Toronto: Institute for Policy Analysis, University of Toronto, April 1995.

April, 1996